



---

## Fwd: Petition No. 1686 - Town of Morris Comments

---

1st Selectman <1stselectman@morrisct.gov>  
To: Selectmen Morris <selectmen@morrisct.gov>

Mon, Mar 30, 2026 at 2:21 PM

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **1st Selectman** <1stselectman@morrisct.gov>  
Date: Mon, Mar 30, 2026 at 2:20 PM  
Subject: Re: Petition No. 1686 - Town of Morris Comments  
To: LaFountain, Dakota <Dakota.LaFountain@ct.gov>, <melanie.bachman@ct.gov>  
Cc: Lee D. Hoffman, Esq. <lhoffman@pullcom.com>, Boucher, Kathryn E. <keboucher@pullcom.com>, Liana Feinn <lfeinn@pullcom.com>, Jean-Paul LaMarche <jean-paul.lamarche@greenskies.com>, gabe.rusk <gabe.rusk@greenskies.com>, CSC-DL Siting Council <Siting.Council@ct.gov>

Dear Members of the Connecticut Siting Council,

I am writing to formally raise concerns regarding the adequacy and documentation of notice provided to abutting property owners in connection with the proposed solar project referenced in recent filings.

A document recently posted to the Council's website includes a letter dated March 27, 2026, from counsel for the applicant, with an attached affidavit from Gabriel Rusk, Senior Project Developer of Greenskies Energy, LLC. In this affidavit, Mr. Rusk states that letters were mailed to project abutters in March of 2025 to notify them of the proposed development. The affidavit itself is dated March 27, 2026.

I respectfully request clarification and further review of the notice process based on the following concerns:

**1. Lack of Proof of Mailing or Delivery**

The filing does not appear to include certified mail receipts, return receipts, or any other form of documentation confirming that the notices were actually sent or received. Without such verification, it is unclear whether all required parties were properly notified.

**2. Timing Discrepancy**

The affidavit is dated approximately one year after the alleged mailing of notices. This raises questions regarding the timing, recordkeeping, and reliability of the notice process.

**3. Accuracy of the Recipient List**

The included list of property owners appears to contain individuals who are not abutters, which creates uncertainty as to whether all required abutters were properly identified and notified.

**4. Due Process Considerations**

Proper and timely notice is a critical component of public participation and due process. If abutters did not receive notice, they may have been deprived of the opportunity to engage meaningfully in the review process.

In light of these concerns, I respectfully request that the Council:

- Clarify the specific notice requirements applicable to this proceeding, including whether proof of mailing or delivery is required.
- Confirm whether the submitted affidavit alone satisfies those requirements.
- Request or require additional documentation from the applicant demonstrating that all required notices were properly sent.
- Consider whether any additional notice should be provided to ensure that all abutters have a fair opportunity to participate.

Thank you for your attention to this matter and for your commitment to a fair and transparent review process. I appreciate your consideration of these concerns.

Sincerely,

Thomas Weik

First Selectman

Town Morris

On Mon, Mar 30, 2026 at 1:08 PM 1st Selectman <1stselectman@morrisct.gov> wrote:

Thank you for the attached letter. One question remains: one of the abutters was not notified of this project and is not on the abutter list. The abutter is the White Memorial Foundation. What should be done?

Thank you,  
Tom Weik

On Mon, Mar 30, 2026 at 12:53 PM LaFountain, Dakota <Dakota.LaFountain@ct.gov> wrote:

Good Afternoon,

Please see the attached correspondence.

Thank you,

Dakota LaFountain  
Office Assistant  
Connecticut Siting Council  
10 Franklin Square  
New Britain, CT 06051  
(860) 827-2935

