



**TOWN OF WINCHESTER
INLAND WETLANDS & WATERCOURSES COMMISSION**

Held Remotely (Via Zoom)
and was streamed live on YouTube:

<https://www.youtube.com/channel/UCT1ffiBjMTBQM5OEXSgKlqg/videos>

**October 21, 2020 – 7:00PM
Special Meeting Minutes**

1. CALL TO ORDER:

Secretary Russ Davenport called the meeting to order at 7:10PM.

2. ROLL CALL:

Roll call was completed by Mr. Davenport. Present at the meeting in addition to Mr. Davenport were: Christine Hunter (7:21PM) Andrea Krawiecki (8:11PM), Mary Ann Marino, Steve Molinelli, Jackie Mulvey, and Kurt Timmeney as well as staff member Pam Colombie.

Ric Nalette was absent excused.

3. APPROVAL OF MINUTES – October 7, 2020 Regular Meeting:

MOTION: Ms. Mulvey, Mr. Molinelli second, to approve the October 7, 2020 Minutes; unanimously approved.

4. AGENDA REVIEW:

The consensus of the Commission was that discussion would not begin on an application after 10:00PM.

5. PUBLIC HEARINGS:

A. IWWC#20-32 Applicant/Owner: Mark Mandell and Merrill Mandell Location: 410 East Wakefield Boulevard Proposal: Install 18” Goose Barrier Fence Along Top of Existing Sea Wall; Modify Existing Boat Ramp to Create a 12’x12’ Seating Area with Steps Using Existing Stone.

The legal ad was read into the record with it noted as having run the requisite two times in the Republican American. Neither the applicant nor a designated representative appeared before the Commission regarding this application, but it was noted that the applicants may be seeking a continuance of the matter.

The hearing was open to the public. No comment was received.

MOTION: Mr. Davenport, Mr. Molinelli second, to continue the public hearing to the November 18, 2020 regular meeting; unanimously approved.

B IWWC#20-40 Applicant/Owner: Michael A. Gutowski Location: 610 West Wakefield Boulevard Proposal: Remove and Replace Sea Wall; Remove and Replace Interior Retaining Wall; Replace and Relocate Dock; Reset Boatlift.

The legal ad was read into the record with it previously noted as having run the requisite two times in the Republican American. Staff confirmed that the Certificate(s) of Mail receipts had been submitted to the Planning Office, providing proof that the abutting property owners had been sent notice of this public hearing.

Professional Engineer Dave Battista of Lenard Engineering, accompanied by his client Michael Gutowski, appeared before the Commission regarding this application. Mr. Battista reviewed the scope of the project. He noted that the plans have been updated with the revisions to the proposed new dock. He reminded the Commission that the lot has a 30’ drop from West Wakefield Boulevard to the lake over a distance of about 95’. Mr. Battista noted that there was little room for stockpiling and staging of equipment. Mr. Battista explained that a Stone Strong® modular block system will be utilized for the wall. He noted that the benefits of this product included an installation that is relatively quick and is long-lasting. He noted that the upper retaining wall is 39’ long and forms a two-level terrace, stepping up from the lake. Mr. Battista reported the plan was

carefully designed to match what was there. He explained the net result after the wall is completed would be 17 square feet of new lakebed.

Mr. Battista indicated the new dock system will be anchored with four 2" steel pipes driven into the lakebed. He noted that the size of the new dock will be smaller than the existing dock, with 162 square feet. Mr. Battista explained the dock's width had been narrowed so as to comply with the Town's *Dock and Mooring Ordinance*. He shared photographs of the existing conditions. Mr. Battista explained that the existing boat lift will be taken out and then reinstalled but noted it would be relocated about two (2') feet back towards West Wakefield Boulevard from where it stands currently. He noted the littoral boundaries that had been added to the plans.

Mr. Battista explained how important setting the base course of blocks were to the project. Mr. Battista pointed out the steps, explaining that they will be the same material and are a product of the same manufacturer. Mr. Battista stressed how important the construction sequence was, noting the duration of construction was expected to last five weeks.

Mr. Battista noted that he had provided a plan for dewatering should it be necessary during construction. Mr. Davenport questioned how likely it was that it would be necessary. Mr. Battista noted that it was difficult to tell unless test pits were dug and had therefore thought it important to include provisions in the plans for such activity. He noted that the littoral boundaries have been added to the plans.

Ms. Mulvey questioned whether there was an easement for the proposed accessway to use for the construction. Mr. Gutowski confirmed that he had an agreement with the neighbor to use that area. Ms. Mulvey questioned the boatlift. It was noted that the boatlift was a telephone pole on the property.

The proposed dock was discussed. Mr. Battista explained that the poles allow the dock to bounce up and down a bit. He confirmed that the poles needed to be taken out each fall and put back after winter. Mr. Molinelli questioned how the applicant would handle the location of the poles if ledge is encountered. Mr. Battista explained that the vendor had indicated that the supports are moveable and if a rock is encountered, it can move. Mr. Molinelli questioned whether the poles for the docks remain in the lakebed over the winter. Mr. Battista indicated that they get taken out every winter. Mr. Molinelli questioned whether this type of dock system was very practical. Ms. Marino noted that may not be the most ideal but indicated that she had a similar system. She explained that she had been steered towards this type of system by the Wetlands Commission at the time.

Mr. Molinelli questioned how deep the area for the wall needed to be dug. Mr. Battista noted that the plans call for eighteen (18") inches of crushed stone at the base.

The meeting was open to the public. No comment was received.

Mr. Molinelli questioned George Mackie, owner of the abutting property, to confirm that there was a mutual agreement between the two landowners to share the path for each to have access to their respective sites for the wall repairs. Mr. Mackie confirmed.

MOTION: Mr. Davenport, Mr. Molinelli second, to close the public hearing; unanimously approved.

6. OLD BUSINESS:

A. IWWC#20-18 Applicant/Owner: Joseph Charles Location: 109 Mundry Road Proposal: Septic and Well Approval.

Neither the applicant nor a designated representative appeared before the Commission regarding this application.

MOTION: Mr. Molinelli, Ms. Hunter second, to continue Application #20-18 to the regular meeting scheduled for November 18, 2020; Motion approved with Ms. Hunter, Ms. Marino, Mr. Molinelli, Ms. Mulvey, and Mr. Timmeny voting aye.

B. IWWC#20-25 Applicant: Matthew Closson Owner: John Flaherty and Colleen Burke Location: 608 East Wakefield Boulevard Proposal: Install New 8'x40' Cantilever Dock in Same Location as Previous Dock.

Staff reported having received an email from the applicant requesting an additional request.

MOTION: Ms. Hunter, Mr. Molinelli second, to continue Application IWWC#20-25 to the regular meeting scheduled for November 18, 2020; Motion approved with Ms. Hunter, Ms. Marino, Mr. Molinelli, Ms. Mulvey, and Mr. Timmeny voting aye.

C. IWWC#20-31 Applicant: Peter D'Addeo Owner: Sandy Drive Three Location: 240 Perch Rock Trail Proposal: Install Permeable Pavers for Parking Area.

Attorney Peter Alter, accompanied by his client Peter D'Addeo, Professional Engineer(s) John Mills and Robert Baltramaitis, appeared before the Commission regarding this application. Attorney Alter explained that the parcel was .28 acres with approximately 160' along the lake. He noted that a series of applications have been made regarding this property. Attorney Alter explained that his client had reconstructed a new home and new garage with both being now completed. He noted that the site had been stabilized and landscaped. Attorney Alter reviewed the most current site plan, revised through September 23, 2020. He explained that the current application was to install 1723 square feet of permeable pavers within the upland review area. Attorney Alter noted that the Commission had been provided with a copy of the driveway approval from the Department of Public Works.

Attorney Alter reported having questioned staff whether the activity may be exempted pursuant to Section 4.1.d. of the Regulations as a matter incidental to single-family residential use. He opined that the proposed activity would have no impact to the lake. Attorney Alter noted that the subject area could be observed as it is currently graveled with a Belgium block border. He noted that there had been a 2½" drop left with the gravel so that when the pavers are installed, they will be even with that block border. Attorney Alter explained the parking area is relatively flat.

Attorney Alter noted that the plans have been updated to reflect the various approvals. He noted the reference to a 2018 approval to replace the garage at which time Mr. D'Addeo believed he had approval for the raingardens to be reconfigured. He noted that staff had questioned the discrepancy between an earlier approval but that his client believed the 550 square feet of rain garden had been approved then. Attorney Alter explained that while staff had presented photographs of downspouts that had not been connected, photographs have been provided by his client showing that they have now been connected and discharge into the raingardens as planned. Finally, referring to the photograph provided by staff relative to the concrete footings in the lakebed, Attorney Alter explained that his client had indicated that the work was done ten years ago at the direction of the former wetlands agent to stabilize the lakewall. Mr. D'Addeo explained that the former wetlands agent had indicated that the pervious pavers were favorable to asphalt paving. He clarified that this conversation occurred in 2018, when he renewed his permit because he had no excavation activity for quite some time. Staff explained that the Zoning Regulations allow for flexibility with coverage for permeable pavers, but it had been added to the regulations for the replacement of asphalt driveways not for replacing grass or vegetation. She explained that the original demolition/reconstruction plan from 2008 showed no plan for parking and noted that the area was grass at that time.

It was noted that the application in 2018 came in as a renewal/modification of a 2007 permit despite the 2007 permit having expired. Approval was granted after the Commission reviewed the plans in one meeting with the primary focus being centered around the garage replacement, according to staff.

The existing raingardens were discussed. Mr. Davenport questioned whether there was a pipe into the raingardens. Mr. D'Addeo reported that the rain leaders pipe into the rain gardens and that there was a pipe under the gardens for the collection of water, and have a swale from the runoff from the road leading into them. Mr. Mills indicated that the rain gardens were installed according to the design plan. He read aloud the plan's design notes. Mr. Molinelli questioned whether the number of plants match the plans. Mr. D'Addeo noted that there are rain gardens all around the house and that they are all directed into the rain garden. Mr. Molinelli questioned the downspout near the back of the house. Mr. D'Addeo confirmed that one downspout does not. He explained that his curtain drain goes to a 7'x7' stone area near the lake wall.

Ms. Marino questioned the size of the lot. Attorney Alter noted that it was 12000 square feet. Ms. Marino questioned the area amount for the pervious pavers. Attorney Alter reported that it was 723 square feet in the upland review area and 2691 square feet out of the review area for a total of 3,400 square feet.

Mr. Molinelli questioned whether there was any way the new parking area could be pitched towards the storm drain. Mr. D'Addeo noted that it would be.

Ms. Marino opined that the pervious pavers was too much coverage and would like to have an independent engineer review. Attorney Alter questioned what the potential impact to the watercourse would be. Ms. Marino explained it could create pooling and would like more information on the maintenance. Mr. Davenport agreed, noting that it could have water running off of it. Mr. Baltramaitis noted that the pavers themselves are permeable and allow water infiltration. He explained that these systems do tend to have a small amount of runoff. He noted that the way the property is graded, the water ponds on site and is allowed to penetrate the soils and substrate before reaching the lake. Mr. Molinelli questioned whether the area, that is the subject of the application, is currently covered with stone. Attorney Alter confirmed. Mr. Molinelli questioned whether the stone would be replaced. Mr. D'Addeo indicated that it would not as it was put in place to get ready for the pavers. He noted that a few inches of stone may need to be removed from the site.

It was noted that to comply with the Zoning Regulations as far as permeable paver systems go, a professional engineer has to oversee the installation and certify that it was done according to the design.

Discussion ensued regarding the existing rain gardens. Regarding the changes made between 2008 and today, Mr. Baltramaitis reported that the plan was for a totality of 552 square feet of rain garden despite the regulations only requiring 80 square feet. Ms. Marino opined that a representative from Northwest Conservation District ought to review the site. Mr. Baltramaitis defended the functionality of the rain garden condition of the site.

MOTION: Mr. Molinelli, Ms. Hunter second, to approve Application IWWC#20-31 Applicant: Peter D'Addeo Owner: Sandy Drive Three Location: 240 Perch Rock Trail Proposal: Install Permeable Pavers for Parking Area and to approve Modified Site Plan, last revised on September 23, 2020, subject to the following standard conditions (1-12) and additional conditions:

1. The permittee shall notify the Inland Wetlands Enforcement Officer immediately upon the commencement of work and upon its completion.
2. If the authorized activity is not completed within five years from the issuance date of October 21, 2020 said activity shall cease and, if not previously revoked or specifically renewed or extended, this permit shall be null and void. Any request to renew or extend the expiration date of a permit should be filed in accordance with the Inland Wetlands Regulations of the Town of Winchester. Expired permits may not be renewed and the Inland Wetlands Commission may require a new application for regulated activities.
3. All work and all regulated activities conducted pursuant to this authorization shall be consistent with the terms and conditions of this permit. A copy of the permit and plans shall be on site at all times. Any structures, excavation, fill, obstructions, encroachments, or regulated activities not specifically identified and authorized herein shall constitute a violation of this permit and may result in its modification, suspension or revocation.
4. This authorization is not transferable without the written consent of the Inland Wetlands Commission.

5. In evaluating this application, the Inland Wetlands Commission has relied on information provided by the applicant. If such information is subsequently proved to be false, incomplete, or misleading, this permit may be modified, suspended, or revoked and the permittee may be subject to any other remedies or penalties provided by law.

6. The permittee shall employ the best management practices, consistent with the terms and conditions of this permit, to control storm water discharges and to prevent erosion and sedimentation and to otherwise prevent pollution of wetlands or watercourses. Permittee will provide a copy of approved plans to contractor which shall stay on site and be available for review or inspection during the duration of work. For information and technical assistance, contact the Wetlands Enforcement Officer. The permittee shall immediately inform the Commission of any problems involving the wetlands or watercourses that have developed in the course of, or that are caused by, the authorized work.

7. No equipment or material including without limitation, fill construction materials, or debris, shall be deposited, placed or stored in any wetland or watercourse on or off site unless specifically authorized by this permit.

8. This permit is subject to and does not derogate any rights or powers of the Town of Winchester, conveys no property rights or exclusive privileges, and is subject to all public and private rights to all applicable federal, state and local laws. In conducting and maintaining any activities authorized herein, the permittee may not cause pollution, impairment, or destruction of the inland wetlands and watercourses of Winchester.

9. If the activity authorized by the inland wetlands permit also involves activity or a project that requires zoning of subdivision approval, special permit, variance, or special exception, no work pursuant to the wetlands permit may begin until such approval is obtained.

10. The permittee shall maintain sediment and erosion controls at the site in such operable conditions as to prevent the pollution of wetlands and watercourses. Said controls are to be inspected by the permittee for deficiencies at least once per week and immediately after rains. The permittee shall correct any such deficiencies within 24 hours of said deficiency being found. The permittee shall maintain such control measures until all areas of disturbed soils at the site are stabilized.

11. The permittee, contractor and/or owner shall conduct all operations at the site in full compliance with this permit, to the extent provided by law, may be held liable for any violations of the terms and conditions of this permit and are responsible for any violation they may have created.

12. Wetland flagging to stay in place during the construction process. Missing flags to be replaced upon the Wetland Agent's request if required for inspection or enforcement. Dock registration to be submitted to the Wetlands Office.

13. Design Engineer to visit this application phase and determine whether the rain gardens are adequate or inadequate. If they are found to be inadequate, the homeowner agrees to follow the conditions of the design engineer;

Motion passed with Mr. Davenport, Ms. Hunter, Ms. Krawiecki, Mr. Molinelli, and Mr. Timmeney being in favor while Ms. Marino and Ms. Mulvey were opposed.

D. IWWC#20-32 Applicant/Owner: Mark Mandell and Merrill Mandell Location: 410 East Wakefield Boulevard Proposal: Install 18" Goose Barrier Fence Along Top of Existing Sea Wall; Modify Existing Boat Ramp to Create a 12'x12' Seating Area with Steps Using Existing Stone.

MOTION: Ms. Hunter, Mr. Davenport second, to continue Application IWWC#20-32 Applicant/Owner: Mark Mandell and Merrill Mandell Location: 410 East Wakefield Boulevard to the November 18, 2020 regular meeting; unanimously approved.

E. IWWC#20-37 (Modification of IWWC#19-20) Applicant/Owner: Alan Needham Location: 714 West East Wakefield Boulevard Proposal: Transfer Dock Registration; Reconfigure Dock System.

Alan Needham appeared before the Commission regarding this application. Mr. Needham explained that their dock proposal has been modified with it now complying with the Dock and Mooring Ordinance. He noted that it includes three 6'x10' with its widest point being only 10' and it's closest point to an abutting property at 20'.

MOTION: Mr. Molinelli, Mr. Davenport second, to approve Application IWWC#20-37 (Modification of IWWC#19-20) Applicant/Owner: Alan Needham Location: 714 West East Wakefield Boulevard Proposal: Transfer Dock Registration; Reconfigure Dock System, as per the materials submitted at this meeting, subject to the standard 1-12 conditions; unanimously approved.

F. IWWC#20-38 Applicant/Owner: Gerald Mackie Location: West Wakefield Boulevard (Across the Street from 613 West Wakefield Boulevard) Proposal: Remove Concrete Pier and Replace Portion of Damaged Sewall Behind it if Necessary; Permit Existing Dock.

Gerald Mackie appeared before the Commission regarding this application. He reminded the Commission that the piers are 45 years old and need to be removed. He explained that they would not be permitted today and noted the work would be done by hand. Mr. Davenport questioned whether the existing dock complied with the *Dock and Mooring Ordinance*. Mr. Mackie reported that he would like to take the dock matter up at a future time.

Ms. Marino questioned whether the wall behind the piers would be repaired. Mr. Mackie confirmed. Mr. Molinelli questioned whether Mr. Mackie understood that if he wanted to install a new dock, he would need to return to this Commission with an application. Mr. Mackie confirmed.

MOTION: Ms. Mulvey, Mr. Davenport second, to approve Application IWWC#20-38 Applicant/Owner: Gerald Mackie Location: West Wakefield Boulevard (Across the Street from 613 West Wakefield Boulevard) Proposal: Remove Concrete Pier and Replace Portion of Damaged Sewall Behind it if Necessary, subject to the standard 1-12 conditions along with the following:

13. Cash erosion and sedimentation bond totaling \$1500 to be submitted to the Inland Wetlands Office prior to the commencement of work;

unanimously approved.

The Commission recessed at 9:30PM. They reconvened at 9:35PM.

G. IWWC#20-39 Applicant: Dena Samele Owner: Marjorie Pascual Irrevocable Trust Location: 640 East Wakefield Boulevard Proposal: Repair/Replace Lake Wall; Register Dock, Swim Platform, and Jetski Lift.

Dan Sabia appeared before the Commission regarding this application. Mr. Sabia noted that additional details had been provided following the last meeting. He explained that the wall is not being replaced but was instead being repaired. He noted that the back of the wall will be excavated approximately three feet, going down a depth of three to five feet. Mr. Sabia explained that the wall will be deconstructed until a flat area is achieved upon which the wall will be rebuilt. He reported that 35 yards of cubic material will be taken out and will be replaced with approximately that same amount of crushed stone. Mr. Sabia estimated the project to take six weeks. He reviewed the temporary storage area and indicated that it was likely the material would be removed immediately. The top two existing stone steps will be recapped, according to Mr. Sabia. The 75' foot wall will be undertaken in 25' sections. Mr. Sabia noted that the existing jetty will be repaired. Mr. Sabia explained that the cement stairs in the lake will remain unless they are compromised at which point, they will be removed. Erosion controls were reviewed.

Mr. Sabia noted that the existing dock has always been there, estimating that it has been there 60 years. However, he noted that when reviewing the length of the pier, the length is 50'. Discussion ensued about the items in the water including whether the jetski lift could be relocated to the other side of the dock so that it could meet the littoral boundaries. Different possible scenarios were reviewed.

Mr. Sabia questioned whether this permit would allow the jetty to be removed if it makes sense during the construction to do that.

MOTION: Mr. Davenport, Ms. Marino second, to approve Application IWWC#20-39 Applicant: Dena Samele Owner: Marjorie Pascual Irrevocable Trust Location: 640 East Wakefield Boulevard Proposal: Repair Lake Wall, subject to the standard 1-12 conditions, along with the additional following condition:

13. Nothing be placed in the water on this site until an application is brought before this Commission showing the dimensions and configuration of the dock, demonstrating conformity with the Dock and Mooring Ordinance.
14. Approval includes the possibility of shortening and/or removal of the jetty to be determined in the field
15. Removal of jetski lift to be completed this fall;

unanimously approved.

H. IWWC#20-40 Applicant/Owner: Michael A. Gutowski Location: 610 West Wakefield Boulevard Proposal: Remove and Replace Sea Wall; Remove and Replace Interior Retaining Wall; Replace and Relocate Dock; Reset Boatlift.

MOTION: Mr. Davenport, Mr. Molinelli second, to approve Application IWWC#20-40 Applicant/Owner: Michael A. Gutowski Location: 610 West Wakefield Boulevard Proposal: Remove and Replace Sea Wall; Remove and Replace Interior Retaining Wall; Replace and Relocate Dock; Reset Boatlift, subject to the standard 1-12 conditions, along with the additional following conditions:

13. Cash erosion and sedimentation bond totaling \$1500 to be submitted to the Inland Wetlands Office prior to the commencement of work.
14. Wetlands Agent to review the dewatering system should it be determined to be needed;

unanimously approved.

I. IWWC#20-42 Applicant: Don LaPointe Owner: Robert K. Bates, Jr. and Tracey Bates Location: 428 East Wakefield Boulevard Proposal: Repair Top 2'-3' of Lake Wall; Remove Jet Ski Launch; Remove Lake Front Stone Patio and Replace with Lawn; Repair Lake Steps Leading into Water; Remove and Replace Two Steps of Concrete/Stone Steps; Extend Lower Block Retaining Wall; Add Shed.

Robert Bates accompanied by his contractor Don LaPointe appeared before the Commission regarding this application. Mr. LaPointe reminded the Commission that the lake wall needed to be repaired. He noted the proposal included removing the stairs that lead into the water as well as the jetski lift. Mr. LaPointe reviewed photographs of the site explaining the areas that will be reconstructed.

Mr. LaPointe reviewed the stone retaining walls. He explained that the façade will be changed. Mr. LaPointe also noted that the steps leading down from the upper area will be replaced.

Mr. Molinelli questioned whether the work must be done during the deep drawdown. Mr. Bates confirmed, explaining that the water depth off the lake wall is seven to eight feet. Mr. Molinelli questioned whether the shed was an issue. Staff noted that it was a zoning matter. Mr. Timmeney questioned whether there was any vegetative buffer included along the wall. Mr. Davenport noted that it is typically required when the work is for a replacement of a lake wall.

Mr. Molinelli questioned whether Mr. LaPointe understood that no machines should be in the lakebed. Mr. LaPointe confirmed.

MOTION: Mr. Davenport, Ms. Mulvey second, to approve Application IWWC#20-42 Applicant: Don LaPointe Owner: Robert K. Bates, Jr. and Tracey Bates Location: 428 East Wakefield Boulevard Proposal: Repair Top 2'-3' of Lake Wall; Remove Jet Ski Launch; Remove Lake Front Stone Patio and Replace with Lawn; Repair Lake Steps Leading into Water; Remove and Replace Two Steps of Concrete/Stone Steps; Extend Lower Block Retaining Wall; Add Shed, subject to the standard 1-12 conditions, along with the additional following condition:

13. Cash erosion and sedimentation bond totaling \$1500 to be submitted to the Inland Wetlands Office prior to the commencement of work;

unanimously approved.

**J. IWWC#20-43 Applicant/Owner: George Mackiewicz Location: 332 East Wakefield Boulevard
Proposal: Remove and Replace Sea Wall and Dock Superstructure.**

George Mackiewicz, accompanied by Professional Engineer Mike Sherman and his contractor Brian Dwyer, appeared before the Commission regarding this application. Mr. Sherman noted that the revised plan included the littoral boundary. He noted that the sequence of construction had also been submitted as part of the revised plan. Mr. Dwyer confirmed that the work would be completed in 25' sections.

Mr. Sherman reminded the Commission that the new dock structure would rest upon the existing pier. He noted that the plan includes not cutting the pier down at all but will include steps down to the dock.

Mr. Sherman reviewed the dewatering plan and explained how it will infiltrate into the rain garden. He confirmed that it may not even be necessary to dewater. Mr. Mackiewicz noted that the lake wall will be natural stone.

MOTION: Mr. Davenport, Mr. Molinelli second, to approve Application IWWC#20-43 Applicant/Owner: George Mackiewicz Location: 332 East Wakefield Boulevard Proposal: Remove and Replace Sea Wall and Dock Superstructure, subject to the standard 1-12 conditions, along with the additional following condition:
13. Cash erosion and sedimentation bond totaling \$1500 to be submitted to the Inland Wetlands Office prior to the commencement of work;

unanimously approved.

**K. IWWC#20-44 Applicant: Brian Dwyer Owner: Sylvia Gambria Location: 812 East Wakefield Boulevard
Proposal: Replace Lake Wall.**

Brian Dwyer appeared before the Commission regarding this application, noting that the survey has not yet been completed but that he expected it to be done soon. He noted that the work did not need to be done during the deep drawdown but that it was likely that the wall would fall into the lake. Mr. Dwyer indicated that the wall is only three feet high.

MOTION: Mr. Molinelli, Ms. Mulvey second, to continue Application IWWC#20-44 Applicant: Brian Dwyer Owner: Sylvia Gambria Location: 812 East Wakefield Boulevard Proposal: Replace Lake Wall to the November 4, 2020 Special Meeting; unanimously approved.

7. NEW BUSINESS.

A. IWWC#20-45 Applicant: Brian Dwyer Owner: Jeffrey R. Smith and Rhonda J. Tobin Location: 226 Perch Rock Trail Proposal: Excavate 30' Behind 50' of Lake Wall and Install Twenty-Four (24") Inches of Stone and Backfill Remainder with Topsoil; Reinstall Sod.

This application was continued to a Special Meeting scheduled for November 4, 2020 at 7PM via Zoom.

B. IWWC#20-46 Applicant/Owner: Thomas Troy Location: 431 West Wakefield Boulevard Proposal: Repair 130' of Lake Wall; Replace Dock Concrete Pad; Register 3'x12' Dock to 10'x10' Platform, 2 Buoys, and Float.

This application was continued to a Special Meeting scheduled for November 4, 2020 at 7PM via Zoom.

C. IWWC#20-47 Applicant/Owner: Ken Albino Location: 376 East Wakefield Boulevard Proposal: Modification of Approved Application IWWC#20-17, Including Dock Rehabilitation/Registration/Minor Site Revisions.

This application was continued to a Special Meeting scheduled for November 4, 2020 at 7PM via Zoom.

D. IWWC#20-48 Applicant: Brian Dwyer Owner: Gunjan Prakash and Daniel P. Roeser Location: 546 East Wakefield Boulevard Proposal: Repair the Stone Foundation Wall on the North Side of the House;

Foundation Repair around Utility Room; Clean and Point Eastern Retaining Wall; Clean and Point Southern Border Wall; Backfill Northern Lake Wall and Install Sod and Dwarf Arborvitae Border Reset 2 Bluestone Steps at Lake Entry.

This application was continued to a Special Meeting scheduled for November 4, 2020 at 7PM via Zoom.

E. IWWC#20-49 Applicant/Owner: Kevin Winn and Marcia Winn Location: 658 East Wakefield Boulevard Proposal: Repair Lake Wall. Remove Concrete Cap. Remove and Replace Stone as Far Down as Needed. Replace with New Concrete Cap.

This application was continued to a Special Meeting scheduled for November 4, 2020 at 7PM via Zoom.

F. IWWC#20-50 Applicant/Owner: Normand Laliberte, Jr. Location: 416 West Wakefield Boulevard Proposal: Building Retaining Walls, Replace Deck Section with Aluminum Dock and Add Stone for Improved Erosion Control.

This application was continued to a Special Meeting scheduled for November 4, 2020 at 7PM via Zoom.

G. IWWC#20-51 Applicant/Owner: Joseph Gomes Location: 214 Perch Rock Trail Proposal: Replace Lake Wall.

This application was continued to a Special Meeting scheduled for November 4, 2020 at 7PM via Zoom.

H. IWWC#20-52 Applicant/Owner: Gary Meyer Location: 83 East Lake Street Proposal: Raze and Reconstruct Existing Cottage, Remove, and Replace Existing Gravel Parking Area with Permeable Pavers; Install Rain Garden.

This application was continued to a Special Meeting scheduled for November 4, 2020 at 7PM via Zoom.

I. IWWC#20-53 Applicant: Matthew Closson Owner: Gerald Crispino and Robin Crispino Location: 851 East Wakefield Boulevard Proposal: Construct New Single-Family Home as per Plot Plan and Drawings.

This application was continued to a Special Meeting scheduled for November 4, 2020 at 7PM via Zoom.

J. IWWC#20-54 Applicant: Michael A. Goncalves Owner: Raymond J. Fugere Revocable Trust 3/4/99 Location: 522 East Wakefield Boulevard Proposal: Replace Existing Seawall.

This application was continued to a Special Meeting scheduled for November 4, 2020 at 7PM via Zoom.

K. IWWC#20-55 Applicant: Matthew Closson Owner: Geoffrey Todd Gervasini Location: 628 East Wakefield Boulevard Proposal: Construct New Block Seawall.

This application was continued to a Special Meeting scheduled for November 4, 2020 at 7PM via Zoom.

L. IWWC#20-56 Applicant: Matthew Closson Owner: David Paigo Trust Location: 656 East Wakefield Boulevard Proposal: Install New Cantilever Dock; Install Swim Area; Repoint Wall as Necessary; Install New Shed.

This application was continued to a Special Meeting scheduled for November 4, 2020 at 7PM via Zoom.

8. AGENT ACTIONS.

A. Determinations.

B. Warnings/Violations.

i. SHOW CAUSE HEARINGS:

9. COMMUNICATIONS AND BILLS.

Staff reported receiving an email from Robertson Michaud regarding 538 Wheelers Point, where staff confirmed that the work was normal maintenance and did not require a permit.

10. OTHER BUSINESS.

A. Discussion on Catch Basin Maintenance Schedule.

No business discussed.

B. Election of Officers.

It was decided to delay the election of officers to a future meeting.

C. Approve 2021 Regular Meeting Calendar.

MOTION: Ms. Mulvey, Ms. Hunter second, to approve the 2021 Regular Meeting Calendar as proposed; unanimously approved.

11. ADJOURN:

MOTION: Mr. Davenport, Ms. Krawiecki second, to adjourn at 11:32PM; unanimously approved.

**Respectfully submitted,
Pamela A. Colombie
Recording Clerk**