



TOWN OF WINCHESTER
INLAND WETLANDS & WATERCOURSES COMMISSION
Town of Winchester Town Hall, 338 Main Street
P. Francis Hicks Room – 2nd Floor
July 17, 2019 – 7:00PM
Regular Meeting Minutes

1. CALL TO ORDER:

Vice Chairman Chris Kiley called the meeting to order at 7:20PM.

2. ROLL CALL:

Roll call was completed by Mr. Kiely. Present at the meeting in addition to Mr. Kiely were: Russ Davenport, Christine Hunter, Andrea Krawiecki, and Jackie Mulvey as well as staff member Pam Colombie.

It was noted that Ric Nalette, Steve Molinelli and Kurt Timmeney were absent excused.

3. APPROVAL OF MINUTES – June 19, 2019:

MOTION: Ms. Hunter, Ms. Mulvey second, to approve the June 19, 2019 Minutes; Motion passed with Ms. Hunter, Ms. Mulvey, Mr. Kiely and Mr. Davenport voting in favor while Ms. Krawiecki abstained.

4. AGENDA REVIEW:

MOTION: Mr. Nalette, Mr. Molinelli second, to amend the agenda to add *IWWC#19-25 Applicant: Scott Young Owner: Scott Young and Kathryn Young Location: 109 Blue Street Proposal: Replace Existing Deck, Stairs to Waterfront, and Utility Shed under New Business; unanimously approved.*

5. PUBLIC HEARINGS:

A. IWWC#19-17 Applicant/Owner: George Mackiewicz Location: 332 East Wakefield Boulevard Proposal: Demolish and Rebuild Single Family Residential Unit with Driveway and Garage.

The legal ad for the public hearing was read into the record, with it noted as having run the requisite two times, July 3, 2019 and July 10, 2019, in the Republican American. The receipts for the Certificate(s) of Mail were noted as having been received, providing evidence that the abutting property owners had received notice of the public hearing.

George Mackiewicz, accompanied by his professional engineers Mike Sherman and Rob Colabella, appeared before the Commission regarding this application. Mr. Mackiewicz presented with an aerial photograph reflecting both his property and the two adjacent properties on either side of his parcel. He explained that the site currently has 21% impervious surface coverage, noting that it will be reduced to 20% which is permissible by right pursuant to the Zoning Regulations when LID (low impact development) techniques are incorporated into the design. Mr. Mackiewicz noted that the lot currently has no stormwater management. He explained that the grade will not change, and the water will continue to sheetflow over the property but will be treated through various measures as part of the proposed construction process.

Mr. Colabella reported that his client had already gained a variance from the Zoning Board of Appeals. Additionally, Mr. Mackiewicz noted that a driveway permit had already approved by the Department of Public Works.

Mr. Mackiewicz reviewed the impervious surfaces that will be removed including the driveway and the water feature. He explained that a Gabian wall, a rain garden, and pervious pavers are included as part of the LID in the project.

Mr. Sherman described the features utilized to control the water at the site. He noted the grass swale on the south side of the house that will function to direct the water to the back of the house to the raingarden located at the northwest corner of the parcel. Mr. Sherman noted that this will function to handle the storm water that falls on the site, sharing his observation that the road has functioned to control the flow of water that falls on the road, keeping it from flowing onto the parcel. He noted that the driveway and sidewalk will be comprised of pervious pavers. The proposed Gabian wall was chosen because it is permeable, according to Mr. Sherman. He noted that a foot of crushed stone will be added behind the wall that will also function to act as an infiltration trench. Should that not handle the water, Mr. Sherman explained that an underdrain is included in back of it that will take any overflow and bring it directly down to the raingarden. Mr. Sherman explained that by installing the Gabian wall, the pitch of the yard into the lake is being lowered. He noted that the existing 13% grade will be reduced to 2% under this plan so water will take longer to get to the lake. The underdrain and the roof leaders will be directed to the raingarden, according to Mr. Sherman. He noted that it had been sized to hold the water volume. As an additional stop-gap measure, Mr. Sherman referenced the infiltration trench along the lake wall.

Mr. Colabella agreed with Mr. Sherman regarding the condition of the roadway, noting that the site does not receive much runoff from East Wakefield Boulevard.

In response to an inquiry about presenting alternatives, Mr. Sherman noted that there were no other feasible and prudent alternatives to what was being sought. He indicated that their hands were tied due to the Zoning Regulations. Staff questioned whether the only increase to the size of the structure was that of the addition of a garage. Mr. Mackiewicz indicated that living space was increasing due to porch structures that are covered. Ms. Hunter questioned whether the added living space was staying within the existing footprint size. Mr. Mackiewicz agreed. Mr. Colabella corrected that, noting the areas that were increased and explained that the garage would be a slab on grade. Mr. Mackiewicz described the health condition of his son and why it was necessary to have an oversized one-car garage.

Mr. Sherman indicated that the stone buffer between the lawn and the lake was eighteen (18") inches.

Regarding whether a second row of silt fence was necessary, Mr. Sherman opined that it was not, explaining that one was included along with strawbales. Mr. Colabella noted that the site will be protected with silt fence on nearly three sides.

The hearing was open to the public for comment. No comment was received. The hearing was closed.

B. IWWC#19-19 Applicant/Owner: Town of Winchester Public Works Location: Grantville Road and West Road Proposal: Install New Drainage at Intersection of Grantville and West Road Discharging into Existing Cross Culvert; Perform Maintenance on Outlet of Existing Culvert.

As an abutting property owner to this project, Ms. Hunter recused herself from the review of the application, removed herself from the table, and took a seat among the public.

It was noted that the legal notice had been previously read into the record, with it having been published the requisite two times on July 3, 2019 and July 10, 2019 in the Republican American. The receipts for the Certificate(s) of Mail were noted as having been received, providing evidence that the abutting property owners had received notice of the public hearing.

Department of Public Works Project Administrator Bart Clark appeared before the Commission regarding this application. He explained that the project entails replacing the existing catch basins on Grantville Road that had sunken in over the years resulting in the loss of the outlets, too. Mr. Clark noted that the homeowners of the parcel where the pipes had previously discharged were not interested in allowing the DPW to complete the excavation necessary for the drainage from the catch basins. He noted that the proposal is to install similar

basins at the bottom of Grantville Road and extend the drainage down West Road to an intermittent stream that crosses underneath. He noted that approximately 300 feet of pipe will be installed with a small riprap apron. Mr. Clark reported that while the maintenance is undertaken of the riprap for the inlet, the crew will also complete maintenance on the cross pipe underneath West Road as that has been revealed to be full of sediment.

Mr. Davenport questioned whether there is any removal of spoils or stockpiling. Mr. Clark explained that the surplus material from the excavation is usually used with the trenching of the pipe but agreed that any excess beyond that would be trucked off site. Mr. Clark estimated the construction to take three to four days.

The hearing was open to the public for comment.

Mrs. Hunter noted that the construction should occur within a dry time and opined that these summer months are a good time for this work.

Ms. Krawiecki questioned any impact to traffic. Mr. Clark noted that this area, unlike the downtown, has light traffic but agreed one lane would be closed.

The hearing was closed to the public.

6. OLD BUSINESS:

The agenda was modified to take up the applications that had earlier public hearings.

A. IWWC#19-19 Applicant/Owner: Town of Winchester Public Works Location: Grantville Road and West Road Proposal: Install New Drainage at Intersection of Grantville and West Road Discharging into Existing Cross Culvert; Perform Maintenance on Outlet of Existing Culvert.

MOTION: Ms. Mulvey, Mr. Davenport second, to approve Application IWWC#19-19 Applicant/Owner: Town of Winchester Public Works Location: Grantville Road and West Road Proposal: Install New Drainage at Intersection of Grantville and West Road Discharging into Existing Cross Culvert; Perform Maintenance on Outlet of Existing Culvert, based on oral and written testimony.

Conditions 1-12 are standard Inland Wetland Commission Conditions and the following additional conditions determined by the Inland Wetlands Commission:

1. The permittee shall notify the Inland Wetlands Enforcement Officer immediately upon the commencement of work and upon its completion.
2. If the authorized activity is not completed within five years from the issuance date of July 17, 2019 said activity shall cease and, if not previously revoked or specifically renewed or extended, this permit shall be null and void. Any request to renew or extend the expiration date of a permit should be filed in accordance with the Inland Wetlands Regulations of the Town of Winchester. Expired permits may not be renewed and the Inland Wetlands Commission may require a new application for regulated activities.
3. All work and all regulated activities conducted pursuant to this authorization shall be consistent with the terms and conditions of this permit. A copy of the permit and plans shall be on site at all times. Any structures, excavation, fill, obstructions, encroachments, or regulated activities not specifically identified and authorized herein shall constitute a violation of this permit and may result in its modification, suspension or revocation.
4. This authorization is not transferable without the written consent of the Inland Wetlands Commission.
5. In evaluating this application, the Inland Wetlands Commission has relied on information provided by the applicant. If such information is subsequently proved to be false, incomplete, or misleading, this permit may be modified, suspended, or revoked and the permittee may be subject to any other remedies or penalties provided by law.
6. The permittee shall employ the best management practices, consistent with the terms and conditions of this permit, to control storm water discharges and to prevent erosion and sedimentation and to otherwise prevent pollution of wetlands or watercourses. Permittee will provide a copy of approved plans to contractor which shall stay on site and be available for review or inspection during the duration of work. For information and

technical assistance, contact the Wetlands Enforcement Officer. The permittee shall immediately inform the Commission of any problems involving the wetlands or watercourses that have developed in the course of, or that are caused by, the authorized work.

7. No equipment or material including without limitation, fill construction materials, or debris, shall be deposited, placed or stored in any wetland or watercourse on or off site unless specifically authorized by this permit.

8. This permit is subject to and does not derogate any rights or powers of the Town of Winchester, conveys no property rights or exclusive privileges, and is subject to all public and private rights to all applicable federal, state and local laws. In conducting and maintaining any activities authorized herein, the permittee may not cause pollution, impairment, or destruction of the inland wetlands and watercourses of Winchester.

9. If the activity authorized by the inland wetlands permit also involves activity or a project that requires zoning of subdivision approval, special permit, variance, or special exception, no work pursuant to the wetlands permit may begin until such approval is obtained.

10. The permittee shall maintain sediment and erosion controls at the site in such operable conditions as to prevent the pollution of wetlands and watercourses. Said controls are to be inspected by the permittee for deficiencies at least once per week and immediately after rains. The permittee shall correct any such deficiencies within 24 hours of said deficiency being found. The permittee shall maintain such control measures until all areas of disturbed soils at the site are stabilized.

11. The permittee, contractor and/or owner shall conduct all operations at the site in full compliance with this permit, to the extent provided by law, may be held liable for any violations of the terms and conditions of this permit and are responsible for any violation they may have created.

12. Wetland flagging to stay in place during the construction process. Missing flags to be replaced upon the Wetland Agent's request if required for inspection or enforcement;

Motion passed with Ms. Mulvey, Ms. Krawiecki, Mr. Davenport and Mr. Kiely voting in favor.

B. IWWC#19-17 Applicant/Owner: George Mackiewicz Location: 332 East Wakefield Boulevard Proposal: Demolish and Rebuild Single Family Residential Unit with Driveway and Garage.

MOTION: Ms. Mulvey, Mr. Kiely second, to approve Application IWWC#19-17 Applicant/Owner: George Mackiewicz Location: 332 East Wakefield Boulevard Proposal: Demolish and Rebuild Single Family Residential Unit with Driveway and Garage, based on oral and written testimony.

Conditions 1-12 are standard Inland Wetland Commission Conditions and the following additional conditions determined by the Inland Wetlands Commission:

1. The permittee shall notify the Inland Wetlands Enforcement Officer immediately upon the commencement of work and upon its completion.

2. If the authorized activity is not completed within five years from the issuance date of July 17, 2019 said activity shall cease and, if not previously revoked or specifically renewed or extended, this permit shall be null and void. Any request to renew or extend the expiration date of a permit should be filed in accordance with the Inland Wetlands Regulations of the Town of Winchester. Expired permits may not be renewed and the Inland Wetlands Commission may require a new application for regulated activities.

3. All work and all regulated activities conducted pursuant to this authorization shall be consistent with the terms and conditions of this permit. A copy of the permit and plans shall be on site at all times. Any structures, excavation, fill, obstructions, encroachments, or regulated activities not specifically identified and authorized herein shall constitute a violation of this permit and may result in its modification, suspension or revocation.

4. This authorization is not transferable without the written consent of the Inland Wetlands Commission.

5. In evaluating this application, the Inland Wetlands Commission has relied on information provided by the applicant. If such information is subsequently proved to be false, incomplete, or misleading, this permit may be modified, suspended, or revoked and the permittee may be subject to any other remedies or penalties provided by law.

6. The permittee shall employ the best management practices, consistent with the terms and conditions of this permit, to control storm water discharges and to prevent erosion and sedimentation and to otherwise prevent pollution of wetlands or watercourses. Permittee will provide a copy of approved plans to contractor which shall stay on site and be available for review or inspection during the duration of work. For information and technical assistance, contact the Wetlands Enforcement Officer. The permittee shall immediately inform the

Commission of any problems involving the wetlands or watercourses that have developed in the course of, or that are caused by, the authorized work.

7. No equipment or material including without limitation, fill construction materials, or debris, shall be deposited, placed or stored in any wetland or watercourse on or off site unless specifically authorized by this permit.

8. This permit is subject to and does not derogate any rights or powers of the Town of Winchester, conveys no property rights or exclusive privileges, and is subject to all public and private rights to all applicable federal, state and local laws. In conducting and maintaining any activities authorized herein, the permittee may not cause pollution, impairment, or destruction of the inland wetlands and watercourses of Winchester.

9. If the activity authorized by the inland wetlands permit also involves activity or a project that requires zoning of subdivision approval, special permit, variance, or special exception, no work pursuant to the wetlands permit may begin until such approval is obtained.

10. The permittee shall maintain sediment and erosion controls at the site in such operable conditions as to prevent the pollution of wetlands and watercourses. Said controls are to be inspected by the permittee for deficiencies at least once per week and immediately after rains. The permittee shall correct any such deficiencies within 24 hours of said deficiency being found. The permittee shall maintain such control measures until all areas of disturbed soils at the site are stabilized.

11. The permittee, contractor and/or owner shall conduct all operations at the site in full compliance with this permit, to the extent provided by law, may be held liable for any violations of the terms and conditions of this permit and are responsible for any violation they may have created.

12. Wetland flagging to stay in place during the construction process. Missing flags to be replaced upon the Wetland Agent's request if required for inspection or enforcement.

13. Cash erosion and sedimentation bond of \$2500 to be submitted to the Inland Wetlands Office prior to the commencement of work;

unanimously approved.

C. IWWC#19-16 Applicant/Owner: Edward Rapposelli Location: 726 Lake Drive Proposal: Modification of IWWC#14-33: Repair Lake Wall.

MOTION: Mr. Kiely, Mr. Davenport second, to continue Application IWWC#19-16 Applicant/Owner: Edward Rapposelli Location: 726 Lake Drive Proposal: Modification of IWWC#14-33: Repair Lake Wall; unanimously approved.

D. IWWC#19-18 Applicant/Owner: James A. Lockton, III Location: 3 Woods Lane Proposal: Septic Repair in Upland Review Area.

Neither the applicant nor his designated representative were present for this application. It was noted that there was no outstanding information sought for this application from the previous meeting.

MOTION: Mr. Davenport, Ms. Mulvey second, to approve Application IWWC#19-18 Applicant/Owner: James A. Lockton, III Location: 3 Woods Lane Proposal: Septic Repair in Upland Review Area, based on oral and written testimony.

Conditions 1-12 are standard Inland Wetland Commission Conditions and the following additional conditions determined by the Inland Wetlands Commission:

1. The permittee shall notify the Inland Wetlands Enforcement Officer immediately upon the commencement of work and upon its completion.

2. If the authorized activity is not completed within five years from the issuance date of July 17, 2019 said activity shall cease and, if not previously revoked or specifically renewed or extended, this permit shall be null and void. Any request to renew or extend the expiration date of a permit should be filed in accordance with the Inland Wetlands Regulations of the Town of Winchester. Expired permits may not be renewed and the Inland Wetlands Commission may require a new application for regulated activities.

3. All work and all regulated activities conducted pursuant to this authorization shall be consistent with the terms and conditions of this permit. A copy of the permit and plans shall be on site at all times. Any structures, excavation, fill, obstructions, encroachments, or regulated activities not specifically identified and authorized herein shall constitute a violation of this permit and may result in its modification, suspension or revocation.

4. This authorization is not transferable without the written consent of the Inland Wetlands Commission.
5. In evaluating this application, the Inland Wetlands Commission has relied on information provided by the applicant. If such information is subsequently proved to be false, incomplete, or misleading, this permit may be modified, suspended, or revoked and the permittee may be subject to any other remedies or penalties provided by law.
6. The permittee shall employ the best management practices, consistent with the terms and conditions of this permit, to control storm water discharges and to prevent erosion and sedimentation and to otherwise prevent pollution of wetlands or watercourses. Permittee will provide a copy of approved plans to contractor which shall stay on site and be available for review or inspection during the duration of work. For information and technical assistance, contact the Wetlands Enforcement Officer. The permittee shall immediately inform the Commission of any problems involving the wetlands or watercourses that have developed in the course of, or that are caused by, the authorized work.
7. No equipment or material including without limitation, fill construction materials, or debris, shall be deposited, placed or stored in any wetland or watercourse on or off site unless specifically authorized by this permit.
8. This permit is subject to and does not derogate any rights or powers of the Town of Winchester, conveys no property rights or exclusive privileges, and is subject to all public and private rights to all applicable federal, state and local laws. In conducting and maintaining any activities authorized herein, the permittee may not cause pollution, impairment, or destruction of the inland wetlands and watercourses of Winchester.
9. If the activity authorized by the inland wetlands permit also involves activity or a project that requires zoning of subdivision approval, special permit, variance, or special exception, no work pursuant to the wetlands permit may begin until such approval is obtained.
10. The permittee shall maintain sediment and erosion controls at the site in such operable conditions as to prevent the pollution of wetlands and watercourses. Said controls are to be inspected by the permittee for deficiencies at least once per week and immediately after rains. The permittee shall correct any such deficiencies within 24 hours of said deficiency being found. The permittee shall maintain such control measures until all areas of disturbed soils at the site are stabilized.
11. The permittee, contractor and/or owner shall conduct all operations at the site in full compliance with this permit, to the extent provided by law, may be held liable for any violations of the terms and conditions of this permit and are responsible for any violation they may have created.
12. Wetland flagging to stay in place during the construction process. Missing flags to be replaced upon the Wetland Agent's request if required for inspection or enforcement.
13. Cash erosion and sedimentation bond of \$1000 to be submitted to the Inland Wetlands Office prior to the commencement of work;

unanimously approved.

**E. IWWC#19-20 Applicant/Owner: Kenneth Colucci Location: 668 East Wakefield Boulevard
Proposal: Remove Existing Porch and Patio; Install New Porch and Deck.**

Matt Closson appeared before the Commission regarding this application. Mr. Closson reminded commissioners that the proposal includes fifteen sonotubes for the construction of a deck. He noted that approximately fifteen yards of material will be removed. Mr. Closson reported that impervious surface coverage will be reduced from 38.6% to 34%, through the installation of pervious pavers for the walkway. Mr. Closson noted that only five or six yards of material will be trucked off site. He noted that the construction will be scheduled for September or October.

The location of the proposed stairs was discussed. Mr. Closson distributed copies of a revised site plan showing the stairs as 6.5' off the property line. He noted the current stairs are 5.5' off the line. Staff requested that a dock registration be submitted as there is none currently on file for the existing non-conforming dock.

MOTION: Mr. Davenport, Ms. Hunter second, to approve Application IWWC#19-20 Applicant/Owner: Kenneth Colucci Location: 668 East Wakefield Boulevard Proposal: Remove Existing Porch and Patio; Install New Porch and Deck based on oral and written testimony.

Conditions 1-12 are standard Inland Wetland Commission Conditions and the following additional conditions determined by the Inland Wetlands Commission:

1. The permittee shall notify the Inland Wetlands Enforcement Officer immediately upon the commencement of work and upon its completion.
2. If the authorized activity is not completed within five years from the issuance date of July 17, 2019 said activity shall cease and, if not previously revoked or specifically renewed or extended, this permit shall be null and void. Any request to renew or extend the expiration date of a permit should be filed in accordance with the Inland Wetlands Regulations of the Town of Winchester. Expired permits may not be renewed and the Inland Wetlands Commission may require a new application for regulated activities.
3. All work and all regulated activities conducted pursuant to this authorization shall be consistent with the terms and conditions of this permit. A copy of the permit and plans shall be on site at all times. Any structures, excavation, fill, obstructions, encroachments, or regulated activities not specifically identified and authorized herein shall constitute a violation of this permit and may result in its modification, suspension or revocation.
4. This authorization is not transferable without the written consent of the Inland Wetlands Commission.
5. In evaluating this application, the Inland Wetlands Commission has relied on information provided by the applicant. If such information is subsequently proved to be false, incomplete, or misleading, this permit may be modified, suspended, or revoked and the permittee may be subject to any other remedies or penalties provided by law.
6. The permittee shall employ the best management practices, consistent with the terms and conditions of this permit, to control storm water discharges and to prevent erosion and sedimentation and to otherwise prevent pollution of wetlands or watercourses. Permittee will provide a copy of approved plans to contractor which shall stay on site and be available for review or inspection during the duration of work. For information and technical assistance, contact the Wetlands Enforcement Officer. The permittee shall immediately inform the Commission of any problems involving the wetlands or watercourses that have developed in the course of, or that are caused by, the authorized work.
7. No equipment or material including without limitation, fill construction materials, or debris, shall be deposited, placed or stored in any wetland or watercourse on or off site unless specifically authorized by this permit.
8. This permit is subject to and does not derogate any rights or powers of the Town of Winchester, conveys no property rights or exclusive privileges, and is subject to all public and private rights to all applicable federal, state and local laws. In conducting and maintaining any activities authorized herein, the permittee may not cause pollution, impairment, or destruction of the inland wetlands and watercourses of Winchester.
9. If the activity authorized by the inland wetlands permit also involves activity or a project that requires zoning of subdivision approval, special permit, variance, or special exception, no work pursuant to the wetlands permit may begin until such approval is obtained.
10. The permittee shall maintain sediment and erosion controls at the site in such operable conditions as to prevent the pollution of wetlands and watercourses. Said controls are to be inspected by the permittee for deficiencies at least once per week and immediately after rains. The permittee shall correct any such deficiencies within 24 hours of said deficiency being found. The permittee shall maintain such control measures until all areas of disturbed soils at the site are stabilized.
11. The permittee, contractor and/or owner shall conduct all operations at the site in full compliance with this permit, to the extent provided by law, may be held liable for any violations of the terms and conditions of this permit and are responsible for any violation they may have created.
12. Wetland flagging to stay in place during the construction process. Missing flags to be replaced upon the Wetland Agent's request if required for inspection or enforcement.
13. Cash erosion and sedimentation bond of \$500 to be submitted to the Inland Wetlands Office prior to the commencement of work.
14. Applicant to submit dock registration to the Planning Office;

unanimously approved.

**F. IWWC#19-21 Applicant/Owner: Kenneth Colucci Location: 672 East Wakefield Boulevard
Proposal: Repair Existing Drainage; Replace Bituminous Walkway with Pavers.**

Matt Closson, accompanied by Professional Engineers Mike Sherman and Rob Colabella, appeared before the Commission regarding this application and the following application on the abutting property, Application IWWC#19-22. The Commission agreed to review and consider both applications simultaneously.

Mr. Closson reminded the Commission that the applications were submitted just prior to the last meeting. He noted the Commission had sought information on the drainage that had been installed at these parcels. He explained that Laurel Engineering had been retained to address this. Mr. Closson assured the Commission that no work besides the installation of temporary access stairs to 672 East Wakefield had been undertaken since the last meeting.

Mr. Sherman noted that the design had been completed at 3PM the date of this meeting. He explained that after being retained, he visited the site and noted the twin four (4") inch pipes. He noted that he had observed drainage issues at the site with two catch basins close together with a hill on either side. He noted that the Town has drainage ditches channeled down to an eighteen (18") inch reinforced concrete pipe, discharging through the adjacent property. Mr. Sherman explained that the trench drains don't have a sump which results in them clogging up. He noted that the proposal includes what had already been installed by the contractor, a twenty-four (24") inch yard drain with a two-foot sump. Mr. Sherman indicated that he ran the drainage calculations on the pipes already installed and found them to be appropriate. Mr. Sherman believed that it was unnecessary for the applicants to remove what had been installed just prior to the last meeting. He noted that the proposal includes two (2) 4'x4' leaching galleys to treat and reduce the water. He noted that these galleys are sized enough to take the ground water recharge volume. Mr. Sherman explained that one six (6") inch pipe will be installed to the top of the basin. Most of the stormwater will be captured in this, according to Mr. Sherman.

Mr. Sherman indicated that the sewer lines are also shown on the plans pursuant to the request of this Commission. Mr. Kiely questioned whether the discharge location, currently directly into the lake, will remain where it was installed. Mr. Sherman confirmed, noting that the discharge location at the wall is steps going into the lake. He indicated that there was no way that the water coming out of that pipe is going to erode the lakebed. Mr. Sherman noted that the contractor is going to repair the wall and cut it flush. Staff questioned the length of the section of the wall that will need to be repaired. Mr. Closson indicated it to be two feet at the maximum. He noted that it would need to be mortared in with a little concrete poured around it. It was noted that the discharge is above the lake level.

Additionally, Mr. Closson noted that there is an existing impervious walkway on site. He noted the proposal is to replace that with pervious pavers. Mr. Closson noted that the lower patio area is at 90 feet. He was not sure the length of the walkway or area of it but estimated that if it were scaled, it would likely be 100 feet. Mr. Sherman noted that a table had been provided for disturbance in the regulated area. He noted that there would likely be a temporary stockpile, too.

Mr. Sherman noted that a new driveway apron will be installed with a four (4") inch hump to keep the road drainage off the site. He confirmed that this proposal had been approved by DPW Director Jim Rollins prior to this meeting. Staff requested additional time to review the proposed design noting that it had just been received. She agreed to provide questions and comments to the engineering firm in advance of the next meeting and requested larger copies than the 11"x17" rendering provided.

MOTION: Mr. Davenport, Ms. Hunter second, to approve Application #19-21 Repair Existing Drainage; Replace Bituminous Walkway with Pavers IWWC#19-21 Applicant/Owner: Kenneth Colucci Location: 672 East Wakefield Boulevard; *Motion failed with Mr. Davenport and Mr. Kiely voting in favor while Ms. Hunter, Ms. Krawiecki, and Ms. Mulvey were opposed.*

MOTION: Ms. Hunter, Ms. Mulvey second to continue Application #19-21 Applicant/Owner: Kenneth Colucci Location: 672 East Wakefield Boulevard Repair Existing Drainage; Replace Bituminous Walkway; Motion passed with Ms. Hunter, Ms. Krawiecki, Ms. Mulvey and Mr. Davenport voting in favor while Mr. Kiely was opposed.

G. IWWC#19-22 Applicant/Owner: Meniscus Realty, LLC Location: 670 East Wakefield Boulevard Proposal: Repair Existing Drainage; Replace Bituminous Walkway.

MOTION: Ms. Hunter, Mr. Davenport second, to continue Application #19-22 Applicant/Owner: Meniscus Realty, LLC Location: 670 East Wakefield Boulevard Proposal: Repair Existing Drainage; Replace Bituminous Walkway; Motion passed with Ms. Hunter, Ms. Krawiecki, Ms. Mulvey, and Mr. Davenport voting in favor while Mr. Kiely was opposed.

7. NEW BUSINESS:

A. IWWC#19-23 Applicant: John Milanese Owner: Blue Lake Properties, LLC Location: 406 East Wakefield Boulevard Proposal: Modify IWWC#14-37: 5'x12' Jet Ski Float.

John Milanese appeared before the Commission regarding this application. He noted that the request was for approval for a jet ski lift in front of the property which is really a float that pins into the lake wall. Mr. Milanese explained it gets removed in the winter. He noted that his parcel has 125' feet of frontage along the lake.

Mr. Kiely questioned what other accessories are currently on the lake front. Mr. Milanese indicated that there are none there currently. He explained that the cottage was from the early 1900s and noted that there are some remnants of piers in the lake from an old previous dock. It was noted that the jet ski float will be installed sixteen (16') feet from the boundary.

MOTION: Ms. Mulvey, Ms. Hunter second, to approve Application IWWC#19-23 (Modification of Application IWWC#14-37) Applicant: John Milanese Owner: Blue Lake Properties, LLC Location: 406 East Wakefield Boulevard Proposal: 5'x12' Jet Ski Float based on oral and written testimony. Conditions 1-12 are standard Inland Wetland Commission Conditions and the following additional conditions determined by the Inland Wetlands Commission:

1. The permittee shall notify the Inland Wetlands Enforcement Officer immediately upon the commencement of work and upon its completion.
2. If the authorized activity is not completed within five years from the issuance date of July 17, 2019 said activity shall cease and, if not previously revoked or specifically renewed or extended, this permit shall be null and void. Any request to renew or extend the expiration date of a permit should be filed in accordance with the Inland Wetlands Regulations of the Town of Winchester. Expired permits may not be renewed and the Inland Wetlands Commission may require a new application for regulated activities.
3. All work and all regulated activities conducted pursuant to this authorization shall be consistent with the terms and conditions of this permit. A copy of the permit and plans shall be on site at all times. Any structures, excavation, fill, obstructions, encroachments, or regulated activities not specifically identified and authorized herein shall constitute a violation of this permit and may result in its modification, suspension or revocation.
4. This authorization is not transferable without the written consent of the Inland Wetlands Commission.
5. In evaluating this application, the Inland Wetlands Commission has relied on information provided by the applicant. If such information is subsequently proved to be false, incomplete, or misleading, this permit may be modified, suspended, or revoked and the permittee may be subject to any other remedies or penalties provided by law.
6. The permittee shall employ the best management practices, consistent with the terms and conditions of this permit, to control storm water discharges and to prevent erosion and sedimentation and to otherwise prevent pollution of wetlands or watercourses. Permittee will provide a copy of approved plans to contractor which shall stay on site and be available for review or inspection during the duration of work. For information and technical assistance, contact the Wetlands Enforcement Officer. The permittee shall immediately inform the Commission of any problems involving the wetlands or watercourses that have developed in the course of, or that are caused by, the authorized work.

7. No equipment or material including without limitation, fill construction materials, or debris, shall be deposited, placed or stored in any wetland or watercourse on or off site unless specifically authorized by this permit.
8. This permit is subject to and does not derogate any rights or powers of the Town of Winchester, conveys no property rights or exclusive privileges, and is subject to all public and private rights to all applicable federal, state and local laws. In conducting and maintaining any activities authorized herein, the permittee may not cause pollution, impairment, or destruction of the inland wetlands and watercourses of Winchester.
9. If the activity authorized by the inland wetlands permit also involves activity or a project that requires zoning of subdivision approval, special permit, variance, or special exception, no work pursuant to the wetlands permit may begin until such approval is obtained.
10. The permittee shall maintain sediment and erosion controls at the site in such operable conditions as to prevent the pollution of wetlands and watercourses. Said controls are to be inspected by the permittee for deficiencies at least once per week and immediately after rains. The permittee shall correct any such deficiencies within 24 hours of said deficiency being found. The permittee shall maintain such control measures until all areas of disturbed soils at the site are stabilized.
11. The permittee, contractor and/or owner shall conduct all operations at the site in full compliance with this permit, to the extent provided by law, may be held liable for any violations of the terms and conditions of this permit and are responsible for any violation they may have created.
12. Wetland flagging to stay in place during the construction process. Missing flags to be replaced upon the Wetland Agent's request if required for inspection or enforcement;

unanimously approved.

B. IWWC#19-24 Applicant: Beecher Construction Services Owner: Robert J. Birney and Patricia L. Birney, Trustees Location: 408 East Wakefield Boulevard Proposal: Modify IWWC#14-29: Install Piers to Support Rear Portion of Existing Structure as Part of General Improvements; Add 8'x40' Dock; and Add 15'x24' Deck in Rear of Structure.

Mark Beecher appeared before the Commission regarding this application. He explained the previous work done, noting that the piers holding up the structure on the water side had been previously repaired about four to five years ago. He explained that his clients, who reside in California, are now looking to have the piers on the land side of the dwelling repaired. Additionally, he noted that his clients are seeking to re-side the dwelling and replace the windows and doors.

Mr. Beecher noted the deck and the dock that his clients are also seeking.

Mr. Kiely questioned the work on the piers on the rear portion of the existing cottage. Mr. Beecher did not anticipate much excess spoils because there are piers there already. He noted the replacement piers would be installed in the same spot. Mr. Kiely noted that erosion controls should still be added to the plans due to the proximity to the lake.

Mr. Davenport questioned whether the dock will be permanent or temporary. Mr. Beecher indicated that it would likely be permanent. Mr. Davenport noted that the plans typically include erosion control. Discussion ensued regarding precautions that should be taken to avoid construction debris from getting into the water.

The phasing of the improvements was discussed. It was noted that the applicants would like to get the windows, doors and siding done this summer to make the structure safe for the family visit scheduled for October. The dock and deck and pier replacement would not be occurring this summer, according to Mr. Beecher.

The commission discussed what could be approved at this meeting. They agreed that a construction boom should be installed in the water for the siding, windows, and doors but that an agent approval could permit this work.

A construction plan including the number of sonotubes, the lengths and widths and how the dock will be pinned to the rocks in this location was requested.

Ms. Mulvey questioned whether the deck intersects with the dock. Mr. Beecher confirmed. Commissioners indicated that they would like more information on the deck and dock. Mr. Beecher reported that his clients would like composite wood for the decking.

The consensus of the Commission was that a building permit can be signed off for the work involving the windows, siding and doors provided that the applicant installs a boom long enough to encapsulate the entire work area and that the contractor communicate to the Planning Office when the work is slated to begin with staff making frequent visits for monitoring purposes.

MOTION: Ms. Mulvey, Mr. Davenport second, to accept Application IWWC#19-24 Applicant: Beecher Construction Services Owner: Robert J. Birney and Patricia L. Birney, Trustees Location: 408 East Wakefield Boulevard Proposal: Modify IWWC#14-29: Install Piers to Support Rear Portion of Existing Structure as Part of General Improvements; Add 8'x40' Dock; and Add 15'x24' Deck in Rear of Structure deeming the work as non-significant; unanimously approved.

C. IWWC#19-25 Applicant: Scott Young Owner: Scott Young and Kathryn Young Location: 109 Blue Street Proposal: Replace Existing Deck, Stairs to Waterfront, and Utility Shed.

Scott Young appeared before the Commission regarding this application. He explained that he learned only two weeks ago that his deck was falling down at his cottage on Park Pond. Mr. Young noted that he installed a temporary beam and is seeking to replace the deck with nearly the same sized deck. He explained the plans are to increase the deck by one (1') foot in order to avoid excavating out a concrete pad that is currently under the deck. Mr. Young explained that the other two projects were included in the application only to save time and money for when he undertakes those in the future.

It was noted that the debris would be hauled up to a dumpster near the road and there would be no equipment involved in the project. Mr. Young reported that the area below the deck is an enclosed room used for storage and indicated that he would like to maintain that room after the deck replacement. He explained the building process for creating this type of space, noting that a roof is sloped in that area.

Mr. Young described the stair replacement, explaining that he would likely need to install eight piers. The grassy area between the stairs and the pond were noted. The drainage at the parcel was discussed. Mr. Young noted that the leaders drain into the sloped bank.

MOTION: Mr. Kiely, Mr. Davenport second, to refer Application IWWC#19-25 Applicant: Scott Young Owner: Scott Young and Kathryn Young Location: 109 Blue Street Proposal: Replace Existing Deck, Stairs to Waterfront, and Utility Shed for an agent approval; unanimously approved.

8. AGENT ACTIONS:

A. Determinations.

None.

B. Warnings/Violations.

None.

i. 714 West Wakefield Boulevard

Land Surveyor Steve Latour of Berkshire Engineering, accompanied by his client, Brett Stone, appeared before the Commission. Mr. Latour reported plans had been prepared for Brett Stone, including erosion control and incorporating LID measures including a water quality basin. Mr. Latour noted that the plans include the proposed deck and walkways, a pervious paver parking area and a ground cover that includes myrtle in areas previously disturbed. He noted that the previous coverage of 23.5% will be reduced to 14.3%.

MOTION: Mr. Kiely, Ms. Krawiecki second, to approve the modification to Permit IWWC#19-20, to accept the site plan changes included in a drawing entitled "Zoning Location Survey Prepared for Brett Stone 714 West Wakefield Boulevard Winsted, Connecticut prepared by Berkshire Engineering & Surveying, LLC dated 10/16/18 revised 02/07/19, 06/10/19, 06/13/19 and 07/10/19"; unanimously approved.

ii. 348 East Wakefield Boulevard

The Commission received a progress report on this violation.

iii. East Wakefield Boulevard Map 032/Block 105G/Lot 327

The Commission received a progress report on this violation.

9. COMMUNICATIONS:

10. Other Business.

A. Adoption of Bylaws.

No business was discussed as it was decided to delay the adoption of the bylaws until the following meeting.

11. ADJOURN:

MOTION: Mr. Kiely, Ms. Krawiecki second, to adjourn at 10:13PM; unanimously approved.

**Respectfully submitted,
Pamela A. Colombie
Recording Clerk**